

WSH&B WOOD SMITH HENNING & BERMAN LLP

## **Our Office Locations**

**Los Angeles** 10960 Wilshire Blvd., 18th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90024 310 481-7600

**Phoenix** 2525 E. Camelback Road, Suite 720 Phoenix, AZ 85016 602 441-1300

Glendale 505 N. Brand Blvd., Suite 1100 Glendale, CA 91203 818 551-6000

**Rancho Cucamonga** 10535 Foothill Blvd., Suite 200 Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730 909 987-5240

**Riverside** 3801 University Ave., Suite 710 Riverside, CA 92501 951 779-5000

**Orange County** 650 Town Center Drive, Suite 1850 Costa Mesa, CA 92626 714 754-1266

Fresno 6770 N. West Ave., Suite 101-A Fresno, CA 93711 559 437-2860

**Northern California** 1001 Galaxy Way, Suite 308 Concord, CA 94520 925 295-1141

Las Vegas 7670 W. Lake Mead Blvd., Suite 250 Las Vegas, NV 89128 702 222-0625

www.wshblaw.com lawyers@wshblaw.com

## **Judgment for Builder Rendered in** Mold Bodily Injury Lawsuit

(Los Angeles, CA) August 31, 2006 -Shea Homes was absolved of any liability for damages that a Stevenson Ranch family alleged had arisen out of mold exposure in their home. Jurors in the closely watched personal injury suit returned a defense verdict for Shea, the nation's largest private home builder, following a three week trial. The family of three claimed a host of bodily injuries from exposure to mold while living in a single family home that Shea had constructed. Although homeowners have asserted many claims for mold-caused damages, few have reached trial and yielded verdicts.

In this case, the Achin family sought in excess of \$20 million (reduced to \$5 million at trial) for various personal injuries. Prior to trial, the court eliminated many of their claims, finding that the general medical community has rejected any link between household mold and injuries other than typical allergic reactions in otherwise healthy people. After trial, the jury returned its verdict that Shea was not negligent, made no misrepresentations of fact and did not cause any harm to plaintiffs. The jury further found that Shea acted reasonably in responding to the family's initial warranty requests, and that the claims asserted were wholly unrelated to any actions of Shea. Several jurors commented post-trial that they thought Shea had done everything it could to work with the Achin family and avoid the litigation that transpired.

After the trial, several jurors expressed doubt with the plaintiffs' claims, and

found their actions to be highly suspi-"The jurors expressed their cious. doubts that a little mold in a wall cavity could cause the harm that plaintiffs claimed." said defense attorney Dan Berman. Mr. Berman, a founding partner in the Los Angeles office of Wood, Smith, Henning & Berman stated that, "This trial demonstrates that when all testimony comes into evidence, juries are able to separate the hype related to mold claims from reality."

Les Thomas, President of Shea Homes Southern California stated, "We are gratified by the jury's verdict. We would have preferred to have resolved this matter outside the court system, but we are prepared to defend the quality of our construction when necessary."

West Hollywood lawyer Brian Witzer and Daniel Balaban represented the Achin family. Dan Berman and Stacey Blank represented Shea Homes Limited Partnership at trial, along with Patrick Schoenberg during the pre-trial motions.



Dan Berman, Senior Partner dberman@wshblaw.com



Stacey Blank, Partner sblank@wshblaw.com